

Data	Landing	Close to ground	No object	Occupants
1,176 cases	60%	35%	5%	32%
120 cases	53%	40%	7%	22.5%
60 cases	70%	10%	20%	32%

TABLE III

Observed percentages in three catalogues of Type-I reports: 1,176 cases of world-wide catalogue (Dr. J. Vallée), 120 cases of Iberian catalogue (Ballester Olmos) and 60 cases of negative catalogue (Ballester Olmos)

(i) There is a very strong correlation between the real cases, i.e. world-wide and Iberian landings.

(ii) There appears to be a *large difference* in the percentages of the negative cases, the values for "touched ground" and "no object" being very much higher than those of the supposedly reliable UFO reports. The percentage of cases "near the ground" is very much lower, and the only general agreement which can be established is the occupant proportion.

Type of explanation	Total of cases	Percentage
Fraud and hoax (non-event)	21	35%
Manufactured object	15	25%
Natural phenomena	12	20%
Others	12	20%

TABLE IV

Table IV shows the proportions of the different classes of explanation for the 60 cases.

Summary of findings

(1) The time distribution of 21 negative Type-I cases in Spain (non-events and explained sightings) shows an aleatory frequency that does not fit into the model followed by the landings recorded as reliable.

(2) The maximum points in the annual distribution pattern of 60 negative cases (1936-1971) seem to be linked to the UFO information published by the press. The percentages of frauds are similar for the wave years and for the other years. The 19 cases of the 1968/69 period are in random distribution.

(3) Collating the landing cases, the negative reports show unequal percentages for cases of "beings", "touched ground", and so on.

Conclusions

In sum, the statistical behaviour of the data from a catalogue of 60 negative Type-I cases differs remarkably from that of the corresponding 120 supposedly reliable landing cases. In consequence, our thesis maintains that the Type-I UFO activity cannot be explained as a set of frauds, mistakes, misperceptions, and so on, as has been maintained. The cause of this activity will have to be looked upon as the emergence of an *absolutely new phenomenon* in the annals of contemporary science.

References

- 1 Vallée, J. F. "The Landings of 1970—A preliminary tabulation", *Data-Net*, Vol. 5, May, 1971.
- 2 Ballester Olmos, V-J., and Vallée, J. F. "Type-I phenomena in Spain and Portugal," *FSR Special Issue No. 4, UFOs in Two Worlds*.
- 3 Phillips, T. "Landing traces found at alleged landing sites," *Data-Net*, Vol. 5, June, 1971.

Subscribe now to

FSR CASE HISTORIES

Supplement 11, August 1972, is due out shortly, and remember, it will contain a full-length report on the recent startling events in South Africa.

**By subscription £1.44 per annum or US\$3.80
Single copies 24 pence each**

If you intend to take out a new subscription it would help our administrative side if you would start your subscription from either Supplement No. 7 or Supplement No. 10: Thank you in advance.

FSR CASE HISTORIES, 21 Cecil Court, Charing Cross Road, London WC2N 4HB, England

